arXiv:1301.4206v2 [math.CO] 14 Feb 2013

On the structure of the group of balanced labelings on graphs

Yonah Cherniavsky[1], Avraham Goldstein[2] and Vadim E. Levit[1]

[1]Ariel University, Ariel, Samaria, Israel
[2]City University oh New York, New York, NY, USA

February 18, 2013

Abstract

We discuss functions from the edges of an undirected graph to an Abelian group. Such functions, when the sum of their values along any cycle is zero, are called balanced. By a cycle we mean a closed path with no repeating edges. The set of all the balanced edge functions is a subgroup of the free Abelian group of all the edge functions. We describe the structure of this subgroup and provide an efficient algorithm to compute its order.

Next, we discuss functions from the vertices of an undirected graph to an Abelian group. We establish the necessary and the sufficient condition on a vertex function such that exist edge functions to the same Abelian group, for which the sum of the values of both functions along any cycle is zero. The initial and final vertex of a cycle is taken only as a one summand in that sum. The set of all the vertex functions which satisfies this condition is a subgroup of the free Abelian group of all the vertex functions. We find the structure of this subgroup.

Finally, we combine both of the above results to obtain the structure of the group of the balanced Abelian group labelings on undirected graphs.

This work is completely self-contained, except the algorithm for obtaining the 3-edge-connected components of an undirected graph, for which we make appropriate references to the literature.

1 Introduction

Let G be an undirected graph with the set of vertices V and the set of edges E. G does not have to be connected and we permit multiple edges and loops. Let A be an Abelian group. In this article we study three topics:

1. A function $f : E \to A$ is called balanced if the sum of its values along any cycle of G is zero. By a cycle we mean a closed path with no repeating edges. The set $H(E, A)$ of all the balanced functions $f : E \to A$ is a subgroup of the free Abelian group A^E of all the functions from E to A. We give a full description of the structure of the group $H(E, A)$ and provide an $O(|E|)$-time algorithm to construct a set of the generators of its cyclic direct summands. In the literature the values of functions from E to A are called weights.

2. A function $g : V \to A$ is called balanceable if exists some $f : E \to A$ such that the sum of all the values of g and f along any cycle of G is zero. By a cycle we again mean a closed path with no repeating edges. The initial and final vertex of a cycle is taken into this summation only once and not twice. The set $B(V, A)$ of all the balanceable functions $g : V \to A$ is a subgroup of the free Abelian group A^V of all the functions from V to A. We give a full description of the structure of the group $B(V, A)$.

3. A function $h : G \to A$, which takes both vertices and edges of G to some elements of A, is called balanced if the sum of its values along any cycle of G is zero. Notice, that abusing the notation, we speak of G as of the union of E and V. As before, by a cycle we mean a closed path with no repeating edges. The initial and final vertex of a cycle is taken into this summation only once and not twice. The set $W(G, A)$ of all the balanced functions $h : G \to A$ is a subgroup of the free Abelian group A^G of all the functions from $V \cup E$ to A. The group $H(E, A)$ is naturally isomorphic to the subgroup of $W(G, A)$ consisting of all the functions which take every vertex of G to 0. Abusing the notation, we identify $H(E, A)$ and the corresponding subgroup of $W(G, A)$. We show that $B(V, G)$ is naturally isomorphic to the factor group $W(G, A)/H(E, A)$ and use this fact to give a full description of the group $W(G, A)$. In the literature, for example in [8], the functions from G to A are called labelings.

The study of integer-valued functions on directed graphs, which vanish on all the cycles of that graph, and the related concept of the cycle space of a directed graph are classical in the Graph Theory. The first work, in which similar questions where considered for the connected undirected graphs, is [3]. In this work such functions are called cycle-vanishing edge valuations. It turns out that for the undirected case the dimension of the cycle space and, dually, the dimension of the space of cycle vanishing edge valuations is closely related to another classical concept in the Graph Theory - that of 3-edge connectivity in an undirected graph. In a very recent work [8] functions taking values on both vertices and edges of the connected undirected graphs, with their values in a finite Abelian group A, are considered. In that work, which is closely related to [3], the amount of such functions is calculated.

In this article we find the group structure of the Abelian groups $H(E, A)$, $B(V, A)$ and $W(G, A)$ for a finite undirected graph G. With this motivation in mind we review some edge-connectivity properties of undirected graphs. We discuss the k-edge-connectivity, present the edge version of the Menger's Theorem and provide a proof. We state and proof several ideas and results, which are commonly used in the literature, but usually without being formally stated or proved. We further develop k-edge-connectivity and, specifically, 3-edge-connectivity properties of graphs and define and prove several new technical results. Additionally, we refer to a certain recent very efficient algorithm which computes the 3-edge-connected components of an undirected graph. In parallel we recall and discuss the $\mathbb{F}_2$ homology theory of undirected graphs and make use of some of its results for our studies. Finally, we combine all of the above to describe the groups $H(E, A)$, $B(V, A)$ and $W(G, A)$. Except for the above-mentioned algorithm, some basic properties of Abelian groups and some basics from the Linear Algebra, the material of this article is self-contained. For additional detailed discussion of the topics presented in this article we refer to [4] and [6]. In [13] the triples (Γ, g, G), where Γ is a not necessary finite undirected graph, G is a group and g is a function from the set of edges of Γ to G, are considered. The edges of Γ are thought of as having arbitrary, but fixed orientation and the equality $g(-e) = (g(e))^{-1}$ holds for every edge e, where $-e$ is e with the inverted orientation. Such triples are called gain graphs or voltage graphs and the values of g on the edges are called gains, as opposed to calling them weights or labels when the group-valued function does not involve an orientation of e. Gain graphs are called balanced if g along every cycle of Γ is the identity element. For the voltage graphs being balanced is satisfying the Kirchhoff's voltage law. In [13] gain graphs with an Abelian group G are studied and several strong criteria for such gain graphs to be balanced are obtained. Voltage graphs are discussed in [5].

2 Preliminaries

An undirected graph G consists of a set of vertices V and a set of edges E. To each $e \in E$ corresponds a pair of vertices $v, w \in V$. Abusing the terminology, for any vertex we permit the pair v, v to be corresponding to a loop edge e. We say that the vertices v and w are connected by the edge e and are adjacent to the edge e. We also say that the edge e goes between v and w and that the edge e is adjacent to the vertices v and w.

Definition 2.1. A path p from a vertex x to a vertex y is an alternating sequence $v_1, e_1, v_2, e_2, ..., v_n, e_n$ of vertices and edges such that $v_1 = x$ and each e_j, for $j = 1, ..., n-1$, goes between v_j and v_{j+1} and e_n goes between v_n and y and that $e_i \neq e_j$ for $i \neq j$.

Note: The last part of our definition asserts that a path does not have any repeating edges. In other words, $e_i = e_j$ if and only if $i = j$.

Definition 2.2. Let $p = v_1, e_1, v_2, e_2, ..., v_n, e_n$ be a path from a vertex x to a vertex y and $p' = v'_1, e'_1, v'_2, e'_2, ..., v'_{n'}, e'_{n'}$ be a path from a vertex y to a vertex w . Then the path $p + p'$ from x to w is defined as $v_1, e_1, v_2, e_2, ..., v_n, e_n, v'_1, e'_1, v'_2, e'_2, ..., v'_{n'}, e'_{n'}$.

Definition 2.3. Let $p = v_1, e_1, v_2, e_2, ..., v_n, e_n$ be a path from a vertex x to a vertex y. Then the path p^{-1} from y to x is defined as $v'_1, e'_1, v'_2, e'_2, ..., v'_n, e'_n$ where $v'_1 = y$ and $v'_i = v_{n+2-i}$ for $i = 2, ..., n$ and $e'_j = e_{n+1-j}$ for $j = 1, ..., n$.

Note: The inner vertices and all the edges of p^{-1} are just the inner vertices and the edges of p taken in the reversed order. Whenever we do not care about the direction of a path and only make reference to its edges, we will abuse the notation and speak of both p and p^{-1} as of paths between vertices x and y.

Definition 2.4. A path p from a vertex x to itself is called a cycle.

Note: We permit the trivial cycle, which is the empty sequence containing no vertices and no edges.

Definition 2.5. A cycle is called simple if it contains every vertex at most one time. In other words $v_i \neq v_j$ for $i \neq j$.

Let A be an Abelian group with the group operation denoted by $+$ and the identity element denoted by 0.

Definition 2.6. A function $f : E \to A$ is called balanced if the sum $f(e_1) + ... + f(e_n)$ of the values of f over all the edges of any cycle of G is equal to 0.

Definition 2.7. The set of all the balanced functions $f : E \to A$ is denoted by $H(E, A)$. $H(E, A)$ is a subgroup of the Abelian group A^E of all the functions from E to A.

Definition 2.8. A function $g : V \to A$ is called balanceable if exists some $f : E \to A$ such that the sum of all the values $g(v_1) + f(e_1) + g(v_2) + f(e_2) + ... + g(v_n) + f(e_n)$ along any cycle of G is zero. We say that this function $f : E \to A$ balances the function $g : V \to A$.

Definition 2.9. The set of all the balanceable functions $g : V \to A$ is denoted by $B(V, A)$. $B(V, A)$ is a subgroup of the free Abelian group A^V of all the functions from V to A.

Definition 2.10. A function $h : G \to A$, which takes both vertices and edges of G to some elements of A, is called balanced if the sum of its values $h(v_1) + h(e_1) + h(v_2) + h(e_2) + ... + h(v_n) + h(e_n)$ along any cycle of G is zero.

Notice, that abusing the notations, we speak of G as of the union of V and E.

Definition 2.11. The set of all the balanced functions $h : G \to A$ is denoted by $W(G, A)$. $W(G, A)$ is a subgroup of the Abelian group A^G of all the functions from $V \cup E$ to A.

Clearly, any balanced function $f : E \to A$ can be viewed as a balanced function from G to A which takes zero value on every vertex of G. Thus, we will regard $H(E, A)$ as a subgroup of $W(G, A)$.

We review some basic definitions and facts regarding Abelian groups:

Definition 2.12. The order $ord(a)$ of an element $a \in A$ is the minimal positive number such that $ord(a)a = 0$. If no such positive number exist we say that $ord(a) = \infty$.

Definition 2.13. The set of all elements of A of order 2 is denoted by A_2. A_2 is a subgroup of A.

Definition 2.14. The image of the doubling map from A to itself, which multiplies every element of A by 2, is a subgroup of A denoted by $2A$.

Note: Any element $b \in 2A$ has at least one element $a \in A$ such that $b = 2a$. This a is called a half of b. There could be another element $a' \in A$ such that $b = 2a'$. However $a - a'$ must belong to A_2 since $2(a - a') = b - b = 0$. Vice versa, adding any c from A_2 to a will again produce a half of b. Thus half of an element of $2A$ is defined up to an element of A_2. Indeed, A_2 is precisely the kernel of the doubling map.

Let now $\mathbb{F}_2$ be the field with two elements 0 and 1. Vector spaces $\mathbb{F}_2^E$ and $\mathbb{F}_2^V$ are defined as the sets of all binary sequences corresponding, respectively, to all the edges and to all the vertices of G. There is a trivial one-to-one correspondence between all the subsets of E and all the elements of $\mathbb{F}_2^E$ and between all the subsets of V and all the elements of $\mathbb{F}_2^V$. Abusing the notation, we will speak of collections of edges as of vectors in $\mathbb{F}_2^E$ and vice versa. Similarly, we will speak of collections of vertices as of vectors in $\mathbb{F}_2^V$ and vice versa.

Definition 2.15. The boundary linear map $\delta : \mathbb{F}_2^E \to \mathbb{F}_2^V$ is defined by taking each edge to the sum of its two adjacent vertices.

Definition 2.16. The kernel $Ker(\delta)$ of δ is called the Cycle Space of G and its elements are called the homological cycles of G.

For each path p let $\epsilon(p) \in \mathbb{F}_2^E$ be the set of all the edges which are present in p. It is easy to see that if p is a cycle then $\epsilon(p)$ is a homological cycle. It is also a well-known result that:

Lemma 2.17. *Every homological cycle c is a sum $\epsilon(c_1) + ... + \epsilon(c_n)$ where $c_1, ..., c_n$ are some simple cycles, such that every edge of c appears in at least one of the $c_1, ..., c_n$.*

Proof. By induction on the number m of edges in c. If $m = 0$ then c is the trivial homological cycle and $c = \epsilon(c_1)$ where c_1 is the trivial cycle. If $m = 1$ then $c = e$ where e is a loop. Then the sequence $c_1 = v, e$, where v is the vertex adjacent to e, is a simple cycle and $c = \epsilon(c_1)$. Assume that the Lemma holds for all homological cycles with up to m edges. Let c be a homological cycle with $m + 1$ edges. We call these edges $e_1, ..., e_{m+1}$. and their adjacent vertices by $v_1, w_1; ...; v_{m+1}, w_{m+1}$ respectively.

If e_1 is a loop and so $v_1 = w_1$ then we define a simple cycle c_1 to be sequence v_1, e_1. Since $\delta(e_2 + ... + e_{m+1}) = v_1 + w_1 = 0$ we get that $e_2 + ... + e_{m+1}$ is a homological cycle with m edges and, by the induction hypothesis, $e_2 + ... + e_{m+1} = \epsilon(c_2) + ... + \epsilon(c_n)$ for some simple cycles $c_2, ..., c_n$. Thus $c = \epsilon(c_1) + ... + \epsilon(c_n)$.

If e_1 is not a loop and so $v_1 \neq w_1$ then there must be at least one edge in c, different from e_1, adjacent to the vertex w_1. We call that edge by e_2 and its vertex w_1 we also call be v_2. If now w_2 is equal to v_1 then v_1, e_1, v_2, e_2 is a simple cycle and $e_3 + ... + e_{m+1}$ is a homological cycle and we prove our Lemma using the induction hypothesis. If $w_2 \neq v_1$ then there must be at least one edge in c, different from e_1, e_2, adjacent to the vertex w_2. We call this edge by e_3. This process will thus be continued until we get that for some $1 \leq i < j \leq m+1$, w_j is equal to v_i. Then $c_1 = v_i, e_i, ..., v_j, e_j$ is a simple cycle and $e_1 + ... + e_{i-1} + e_{j+1} + ... + e_{m+1}$ is a homological cycle, to which the induction hypothesis applies. ☐

Definition 2.18. For two vertices v, w of G, a homological path p between v and w is vector $p \in \mathbb{F}_2^E$ such that $\delta(p) = v + w$

Thus, a homological cycle is a homological path between v and itself for any vertex v.

We conclude this section by stating and proving a classical result in a little more general form then it is usually done in the literature:

Theorem 2.19. *The dimension $dc(G)$ of the Cycle Space of a graph G is equal to $|E| - |V| + con(G)$.*

Proof. The Theorem is evident for an empty graph. We proceed to prove it for non-empty graphs by induction on $|E|$. If $|E| = 0$ then G consists of exactly $con(G)$ vertices and has zero edges and zero cycles and so the Theorem holds. Assume that the Theorem holds for all the graphs with $|E| \leq n$. Any graph G' with $|E| = n+1$ can be obtained from some graph G with $|E| = n$ by attaching to it an edge e_{n+1}. If e_{n+1} connects vertices in two different connected components of G then $dc(G') = dc(G)$ and $con(G') = con(G) - 1$ and so the Theorem holds.

If e_{n+1} connects vertices x and y which belong to the same connected component of G then e_{n+1} plus any homological path p of G between x and y, is an element of the Cycle Space of G' but not of the Cycle Space of G. On the other hand, any element of the Cycle Space of G', which is not an element of the Cycle Space of G, must contain e_{n+1}. Thus in this case $dc(G') = dc(G) + 1$ and $con(G') = con(G)$. Thus again the Theorem holds. ☐

3 k-edge-connectivity

Definition 3.1. The vertices $v, w \in V$ of G are called k-edge-connected if exist k different paths $p_1, ..., p_k$ between v and w such that any p_i and p_j, where $i \neq j$, have no common edges. By definition, we say that for every k every vertex v of G is k-edge-connected to itself.

We say that vertices v and w are connected if they are 1-edge-connected. Otherwise, we say that v and w are disconnected. All the vertices connected to v, together with all the edges adjacent to them, are called the connected component $Con(v)$ of v.

Menger's Theorem. *Two different vertices v and w are k-edge-connected if and only if no deletion of any $k-1$ edges from G disconnects v and w.*

Proof. One direction of the Theorem is obvious: if $p_1, ..., p_k$ are pairwise edge-disjoint paths between v and w then any deletion of any $k-1$ edges $e_1, ..., e_{k-1}$ will not cut all these k paths, and so x and y will stay connected. We now prove the other direction. Assume that we are wrong. Then exist some counter-examples. Let G be a counter-example with the minimal amount of edges in it. Thus, G is an undirected graph, which contains some different vertices v and w such that any deletion of any $k-1$ edges form G will not disconnect x and y, but such that there are no k pairwise edge-disjoint paths between v and w in G.

Due to the minimality of G, every edge e of G must belong to some (at least one) collection of k different edges, deletion of all of which from G disconnects v and w (otherwise e can be dropped from G and we will obtain a counter-example with less edges).

If exists a collection $e_1, ..., e_k$ of edges of G such that after its deletion from G the connected component $Con(v)$ of the vertex v in G has some edges in it and also the connected component $Con(w)$ of the vertex w in G has some edges in it, then let $v_1, ..., v_k$ be the vertices of $e_1, ..., e_k$ which are in $Con(v)$ and let $w_1, ..., w_k$ be the vertices of $e_1, ..., e_k$ which are in $Con(w)$. We define a new graph G', by adding a new vertex v' to $Con(v)$ and connecting it by new edges $e'_1, ..., e'_k$ to the vertices $v_1, ..., v_k$, and a new graph G'', by adding a new vertex w' to $Con(w)$ and connecting it by new edges $e''_1, ..., e''_k$ to the vertices $w_1, ..., w_k$. Since G' and G'' have less edges than G and since any deletion of any $k-1$ edges in one of them will not disconnect v from v' nor w' from w, we get that v is k-edge-connected to v' in G' and that w' is k-edge-connected to w in G''. Thus exist k pairwise edge-disjoint paths $p'_1, ..., p'_k$ between v and $v_1, ..., v_k$ respectively and k pairwise edge-disjoint paths $p''_1, ..., p''_k$ between $w_1, ..., w_k$ and w respectively. Thus, paths $p_1, ..., p_k$, where $p_i = p'_i, v_i, e_i, p''_i$, for $i = 1, ..., k$, are all pairwise edge-disjoint paths from v to w, which contradicts the fact that G is a counter-example.

Thus, the only edges in the counterexample G are the edges between v and some vertices $v_1, ..., v_t$ and the edges between w and some vertices $w_1, ..., w_h$. But if exists some vertex u, different from v and w, such that the number of edges between v and u is less than the number of edges between u and w then deleting all the edges between v and u and all the edges between all other vertices, except u, and w will disconnect v and w, since v will be disconnected from u and w will be connected only to u. Thus, due to the minimality of G, we disconnected v and w by deleting then k edges. The same argument applies if the number of edges between v and u is greater than the number of edges between u and w. Thus the number of edges between v and u must be equal to the number of edges between u and w for all edges u, different from v and w. Thus we have k pairwise edge-disjoint paths from x to y. For other proofs of Menger's Theorem see for example [9] or [6]. ☐

The following well-known fact appears a lot in the literature, but usually no proof is provided:

Lemma 3.2. *k-edge-connectivity is an equivalence relation between the vertices of G.*

Proof. By definition, every vertex is k-edge-connected to itself. Clearly, if v is k-edge-connected to w then w is k-edge-connected to v. We need to show that if v is k-edge-connected to w and w is k-edge-connected to u then v is k-edge-connected to u. But since no removal of any $k-1$ edges disconnects v from w, nor does it disconnect w from u, no removal of $k-1$ edges can disconnect v from u. ☐

Thus k-edge-connectivity, for any positive k, breaks the set V of G into the equivalence classes of k-edge-connected vertices.

Definition 3.3. We denote the number of equivalence classes of k-edge-connected vertices of G by $con_k(G)$.

Definition 3.4. For a vertex $v \in V$ we denote the equivalence class of k-edge-connected vertices of G, which contains v, by $Con_k(v)$.

Note, that $con_1(G)$ is exactly equal to the number $con(G)$ of the connected components of G. Note that $Con_1(v)$ is exactly the set of all vertices in $Con(v)$.

Definition 3.5. A path between two k-edge-connected vertices is called a k-weak cycle of G.

The rational behind this terminology becomes clear in the proof of Theorem 3.9 and in [3]. Every cycle of G is also a k-weak cycle of G for every k. Conversely, since G has a finite amount of edges, exists some k such that k-weak cycles of G will also be cycles of G.

Definition 3.6. A homological path between two k-edge-connected vertices of G is called a k-weak homological cycle of G.

Definition 3.7. The subspace of $\mathbb{F}_2^E$ spanned by all the k-weak homological cycles of G is called the k-Weak Cycle Space of G.

Clearly, the Cycle Space of G is a subspace of the k-Weak Cycle Space of G for every k.

Theorem 3.8. *The dimension $dc_k(G)$ of the k-Weak Cycle Space of a graph G is equal to $|E| - con_k(G) + con(G)$.*

Proof. Let G' be the graph, obtained from G by identifying (gluing together) all the vertices in each k-edge-connectivity equivalence class of G. Then G' has $con_k(G)$ vertices, $|E|$ edges and $con(G)$ connected components. Clearly, each k-weak cycle of G becomes a cycle of G' and, vice versa, the pre-image of each cycle of G' is a weak cycle of G. Applying Theorem 2.19 to G' yields our Theorem. ☐

Lemma 3.9. *Every k-weak homological cycle c of G is a sum $\epsilon(p_1) + ... + \epsilon(p_m)$ where each $p_j = v_{j,1}, e_{j,1}, ..., v_{j,t_j}, e_{j,t_j}$ is a path from some vertex w_j to some (not necessarily different) vertex w'_j in G with w_j and w'_j being k-edge-connected, so that no two vertices $v_{j,a}$ and $v_{j,b}$ of any of these paths p_j, with $a \neq b$, are k-edge-connected and so that every edge of c appears in at least one of the $p_1, ..., p_m$.*

Proof. Again, let G' be the graph, obtained from G by identifying (gluing together) all the vertices in each k-edge-connectivity equivalence class of vertices of G. This gluing induces a bijection between the k-weak homological cycles of G becomes and the homological cycles of G'. This gluing also induces a bijection between paths connecting k-edge-connected vertices of G, such that no two vertices of the path are k-edge-connected, and the simple cycles of G'. By Lemma 2.17 every homological cycle c' of G' is a sum $\epsilon(c'_1) + ... + \epsilon(c'_n)$, where $c'_1, ..., c'_n$ are some simple cycles of G'. Thus, every k-weak homological cycle c of G is a sum $\epsilon(p_1) + ... + \epsilon(p_m)$ of paths $p_1, ..., p_m$, each connecting k-edge-connected vertices of G, such that no two vertices of any of p_j are k-edge-connected. ☐

Let $v_1, ..., v_k \in V$ be vertices belonging to the same k-edge-connected component of V. They do not have to be different vertices.

Lemma 3.10. *If exist k pairwise edge-disjoint paths from between a vertex u and the vertices $v_1, ..., v_k$ then u is k-edge-connected to v_1.*

Proof. Clearly, no deletion of $k-1$ edges can disconnect u from all the vertices $v_1, .., v_k$. By Menger's Theorem no deletion on $k-1$ edges can disconnect v_1 and any of v_j for $1 \leq j \leq k$. Thus no deletion of $k-1$ edges can disconnect u from v_1, which, by Menger's Theorem, implies that u is k-edge-connected to v_1. □

In this article we are interested in the case when $k = 3$.

Theorem 3.11. *There exist a simple linear-time algorithm for finding all the* 3*-edge-connected components of an undirected graph* G*.*

Proof. We start by deleting all the loops from G. Indeed, the loops are irrelevant since they do not contribute to connectivity between different vertices. Next, we apply Tsin's algorithm from [14]. This optimal algorithm for 3-edge-connectivity first executes another simple, linear time and space algorithm to remove all the bridge edges - the edges whose removal increases the amount of connected components of G. Next it performs one pass over G to determine a set of cut-pairs, whose removal leads to the 3-edge-connected components. An additional final pass determines all the 3-edge-connected components of G. Tsin's algorithm is simple, easy to implement and runs in linear time and space. □

Definition 3.12. A 3-weak cycle between vertices v and w, where v can be equal to w, is called short if none of its inner vertices are 3-edge-connected to v.

Lemma 3.13. *Every* 3*-weak cycle* c *is the sum* $c_1 + ... + c_m$ *of unique short* 3*-weak cycles* $c_1, ..., c_m$

Proof. If c is the trivial empty 3-weak cycle or a 3-weak cycle consisting only of one vertex and one edge then c is obviously a short 3-weak cycle. We proceed by induction. Suppose the Lemma holds for all 3-weak cycles containing less then m edges. Let $c = v_1, e_1, ..., v_m, e_m$ be a 3-weak cycle. If c is short then we are done. Otherwise, let i, where $1 < i$, be the smallest index such, that v_i is 3-edge-connected to v_1. Define $c_1 = v_1, e_1, ..., v_{i-1}, e_{i-1}$ and $c' = v_i, e_i, ..., v_m, e_m$. Clearly, c_1 is a short 3-weak cycle and $c = c_1 + c'$. We now apply the induction hypothesis to obtain the decomposition of c' into the sum of short 3-weak cycles. The uniqueness of this decomposition is trivial. □

Let x, y, u, w be vertices in the same 3-edge-connected component of V. They do not have to be distinct vertices.

Theorem 3.14. *Two short* 3*-weak cycles -* $c = x, e_1, ..., v_h, e_h$*, which goes from vertex* x *to vertex* y*, and* $c' = u, e'_1, ..., v'_t, e'_t$*, which goes from vertex* u *to vertex* w *- either have no common inner vertices at all or* $x = v$ *and* $e_1 = e'_1$ *and* $y = w$ *and* $e_h = e'_t$*.*

Proof. Let $v_i = v'_j$ be the common inner vertex of c and c' with the smallest index i amongst the inner vertices $v_2, ..., v_h$ of c. Then, unless $i = 2$ and also $e_1 = e'_1$, three paths $p_1 = x, e_1, ..., v_{i-1}, e_{i-1}$, $p_2 = u, e'_1, ..., v'_{j-1}, e'_{j-1}$ and $p_3 = v'_j, e'_j, ..., v'_t, e'_t$ are pairwise edge-disjoint and connect between v_i and the vertices x, u, w. Thus, by Lemma 3.10, v_i is 3-edge-connected to x, which contradicts the fact that c is short. Since $e_1 = e'_1$ we get that $x = u$. The same argument shows that $e_h = e'_t$ and that $y = w$. ☐

Definition 3.15. Short 3-weak cycles from v to w are called twins if they have the same first and last edge.

Clearly, being twins defines an equivalence relation between the short 3-weak cycles connecting the vertices of the same 3-edge-connected component of V.

4 Balanced Functions from E to an Abelian Group A

Let G be an undirected graph and A be an Abelian group.

Theorem 4.1. *If a function* $f : E \to A$ *takes the Cycle Space of* G *to* 0 *then* f *is balanced. If a function* $f : E \to A$ *is balanced then* f *takes the* 3*-Weak Cycle Space of* G *into* A_2 *and takes the Cycle Space of* G *to* 0*.*

Proof. Suppose that f takes every every homological cycle of G to 0. Then for any cycle $c = v_1, e_1, ..., c_m, e_m$ of G we, since $e_i \neq e_j$ for $i \neq j$. have that

$$f(e_1) + ... + f(e_m) = f(\epsilon(c)) = 0$$

And so f is balanced.

Due to Lemma 3.13, f takes the 3-Weak Cycle Space of G into A_2 if and only if f takes all the short 3-weak homological cycles of G to elements of A_2. Thus, to prove the second half of the Theorem we can consider only short 3-weak homological cycles.

Suppose that f is balanced. From Lemma 2.17 it follows that f takes every homological cycle of G to 0. Let c be any short 3-weak homological cycle of G between some vertices v and w. Then, since v and w must be 3-edge-connected, there exist three pairwise edge-disjoined paths p_1, p_2 and p_3 between v and w. From Lemma 3.14 and Theorem 3.14 it follows that c can have some common edges with at most one of the three 3-weak homological cycles $\epsilon(p_1)$, $\epsilon(p_2)$ and $\epsilon(p_3)$. Suppose that c has no common edges with $\epsilon(p_1)$ and no common edges with $\epsilon(p_2)$. Then $c + \epsilon(p_1)$ and $c + \epsilon(p_2)$ and $\epsilon(p_1) + \epsilon(p_2)$ are homological cycles of G and we have

$$f(c + \epsilon(p_1)) = f(c + \epsilon(p_2)) = f(\epsilon(p_1) + \epsilon(p_2)) = 0$$

Hence

$$2f(c) = f(2c) = f([c + \epsilon(p_1)] + [c + \epsilon(p_2)] - [\epsilon(p_1) + \epsilon(p_2)]) = 0$$

So $f(c)$ must belong to A_2. □

Theorem 4.2. *The Abelian group $H(G, A)$ of all balanced functions from the edges E to A is isomorphic to $A^{con_3(G)-con(G)} \times A_2^{|V|-con_3(G)}$*

Proof. Select a basis of the Cycle Space of G and fix some indexing on it. Thus we have some basis $c_1, c_2, \ldots$ of the Cycle Space of G. Next select and fix some homological 3-weak cycles $c'_1, c'_2, \ldots$ of G so that $c_1, c_2, \ldots, c'_1, c'_2, \ldots$ is a basis Θ of the 3-Weak Cycle Space of G. Denote the subspace of the 3-Weak Cycle Space of G which is spanned by $c'_1, c'_2, \ldots$ by Λ. Notice that 0 is the only common vector of the subspaces Λ and the Cycle Space of the 3-Weak Cycle Space of G. Due to Theorems 2.19 and 3.8 our basis Θ consists of $|E| - |V| + con(G)$ vectors $c_1, \ldots, c_{|E|-|V|+con(G)}$ and of $(|E| - con_3(G) + con(G)) - (|E| - |V| + con(G)) = |V| - con_3(G)$ vectors $c'_1, \ldots, c'_{|V|-con_3(G)}$.

Next, from the edges of E we can select and index some $e_1, \ldots, e_{con_3(G)-con(G)}$ so that they, together with Θ, form a basis of $\mathbb{F}_2^E$. Now we define a homomorphism

$$\phi : H(G, A) \to A^{con_3(G)-con(G)} \times A_2^{|V|-con_3(G)}$$

by

$$\phi(f) = (f(e_1), \ldots, f(e_{con_3(G)-con(G)}), f(c'_1), \ldots, f(c'_{|V|-con_3(G)}))$$

Indeed, by Theorem 4.1, ϕ takes elements of $H(G, A)$ to $A^{con_3(G)-con(G)} \times A_2^{|V|-con_3(G)}$.

If $\phi(f) = 0$ then f must be zero on every $c_1, ..., c_{|E|-|V|+con(G)}$, since f is balanced, and also on every $c'_1, ..., c'_{|V|-con_3(G)}$ and $e_1, ..., e_{con_3(G)-con(G)}$ by its definition. So f must be zero on every element of the space $\mathbb{F}_2^E$ and so f is the zero function.

For any element $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, ..., a_{con_3(G)-con(G)}, a'_1, ..., a'_{|V|-con_3(G)})$ of $A^{con_3(G)-con(G)} \times A_2^{|V|-con_3(G)}$ we construct $f \in H(G, A)$ such that $\phi(f) = \mathbf{a}$ by extending:

1. $f(e_t) = a_t$ for all $e_1, ..., e_{con_3(G)-con(G)}$.

2. $f(c'_j) = a'_j$ for all $c'_1, ..., c'_{|V|-con_3(G)}$.

3. $f(c_i) = 0$ for all $c_1, ..., c_{|E|-|V|+con(G)}$

$\mathbb{F}_2$-linearly to all the elements of $\mathbb{F}_2^E$.

Requirements (2) and (3), together with Theorem 4.1, guarantee that f is balanced. A straightforward plugging-in shows that $\phi(f) = \mathbf{a}$. ☐

5 Balanceable Functions from V to an Abelian Group A

Lemma 5.1. *If a function $g : V \to A$ is balanceable then for any 3-edge-connected vertices v and w we must have $g(v) - g(w) \in 2A$*

Proof. If $v = w$ then the Lemma is trivial. Otherwise, let g be balanceable and let $f : E \to A$ be such that it balances g. Let p_1, p_2, p_3 be three pairwise edge-disjoined paths between v and w. Then the sums of values of g and f along cycles $c_1 = p_1, p_2$ and $c_2 = p_1, p_3$ and $c_3 = p_2, p_3$ must be 0. But the sum of values of g and f along c_1 plus the sum of values of g and f along c_2 minus the sum of values of g and f along c_3 will be equal to $g(v) + g(w) + b$ where $b \in 2A$ because g of every other vertex of p_1, except v and w, and f of every edge of p_1 will appear twice in the above expression. Hence $g(v) + g(w) - 2g(w) = g(v) - g(w) \in 2A$. ☐

Lemma 5.2. *A function $g : V \to A$ such that for some $v \in V$ and some $a \in A$ we have $g(v) = 2a$ and $g(w) = 0$ for all other $w \in V$ is balanceable.*

Proof. We define $f : E \to A$ to be equal to $-2a$ on any loop edge adjacent to v and to $-a$ on every non-loop edge adjacent to v and 0 on every other edge. The image under ϵ on any simple cycle will either not contain not v nor any of its adjacent edges or will contain v and either its adjacent loop edge or two of its adjacent non-loop edges. In all three cases we get that the sum of values of g and f along that image under ϵ is 0. Applying Lemma 2.17 yields our Lemma. □

Lemma 5.3. *A function $g : V \to A$ such that for some 3-edge-connected component W of V and some $a \in A$ we have $g(w) = a$ for all $w \in W$ and $g(u) = 0$ for all other $u \in V$ is balanceable.*

Proof. Let $\theta_1, \theta_2, ...$ be all the equivalence classes of twin 3-weak cycles connecting (not necessarily different) vertices from W. By Theorem 3.14 we can select in each class θ_i some edge u_i which is adjacent to a vertex from W and which is not contained in any other class θ_j.

We define $f : E \to A$ to be equal to $-a$ on $u_1, u_2, ...$ and 0 on every other edge. From Lemma 3.13 it follows that every cycle will either not contain any vertices from W and no one of the edges $u_1, u_2, ...$ or will contain exactly one of the edges $u_1, u_2, ...$ for each vertex from W which it contains. Thus the sum of values of g and f along any cycle will be 0 □

Theorem 5.4. *The Abelian group $B(V, A)$ of all the balanceable functions $g : V \to A$ is isomorphic to $A^{con_3(G)} \times (2A)^{|V|-con_3(G)}$*

Proof. Let $W_1, ..., W_{con_3(G)}$ be all the equivalence classes of 3-edge-connected vertices of V and let $w_1, ..., w_{con_3(G)}$ be some vertices in these classes. Select and fix some indexing on the rest of the vertices of V. We will refer to them as $v_{con_3(G)+1}, ..., v_{|V|}$. For each vertex v_i, where $con_3(G) < i$, let $w(v_i)$ denote one of the $w_1, ..., w_{con_3(G)}$ which is in the same 3-edge-connected component of V as v_i.

We define the isomorphism

$$\psi : B(G, A) \to A^{con_3(G)} \times (2A)^{|V|-con_3(G)}$$

by

$$\psi(g) = (g(w_1), ..., g(w_{con_3(G)}), g(v_{con_3(G)+1}) - g(w(v_{con_3(G)+1})), ..., g(v_{|V|}) - g(w(v_{|V|})))$$

By Lemma 5.1 the image of ψ indeed belongs to $A^{con_3(G)} \times (2A)^{|V|-con_3(G)}$.

If $\psi(g) = (0, ..., 0)$ then it is clear that $g(v) = 0$ for all vertices $w_1, ..., w_{con_3(G)}$ and also for all vertices $v_{con_3(G)+1}, ..., v_{|V|}$. So ψ is injective.

For any element $(a_1, ..., a_{con3(G)}, a''_1, ..., a''_{|V|-con3(G)})$ of $A^{con3(G)} \times (2A)^{|V|-con3(G)}$ we construct $g \in B(G, A)$ such that $\psi(g) = (a_1, ..., a_{con3(G)}, a''_1, ..., a''_{|V|-con3(G)})$ by:

1. For $w_1, ..., w_{con3(G)}$ set $g(w_j) = a_j$
2. For $v_{con3(G)+1}, ..., v_{|V|}$ set $g(v_i) = a''_{i-con3(G)} + g(w(v_i))$

It follows from Lemmas 5.2 and 5.3 that g is balanceable. A straightforward plugging-in shows that $\psi(g) = (a_1, ..., a_{con3(G)}, a''_1, ..., a''_{|V|-con3(G)})$. □

6 Balanced Functions from G to an Abelian Group A

Notice that in this section when we speak of the value of the function $h \in W(G, A)$ along a path p from vertex v to vertex w, we need to add all the values of h on the vertices and on the edges of p, starting with its value on v but not including its value on w.

Notice that for any 2-edge-connected vertices v and w and any two paths p' and p'' which do not have any common edges we must have $h(p') + h(p'') = h(v) - h(w)$, since h is balanced and so $h(p') + h(w) + h(p'') = h(v)$.

Notice that the dimension of the space Λ, which was defined in the proof of Theorem 4.2, is precisely equal to total amount of vertices of G minus the amount of the vertex representatives selected for each 3-weak connected class of vertices, like it was done in the proof of Theorem 5.4. Actually, Λ is just a lift of the quotient of the 3-Weak Cycle Space of G by its subspace - the Cycle Space of G - back to the 3-Weak Cycle Space of G. Obviously, by making selections in the proof of Theorem 4.2 we effectively have selected some specific lift.

Lemma 6.1. *For each 3-edge-connected component of vertices of G, which contains m vertices $v_1, ..., v_m$, we can select some $m-1$ short 3-weak cycles $s_1, ..., s_{m-1}$ between these vertices so that:*

1. *All the vertices of that component are pairwise connected by these short 3-weak cycles and their sums*

2. *For any two different* 3*-edge-connected vertices* v_i, v_j*, for which we selected a short* 3*-weak cycle* s_r *from* v_i *to* v_j*, there are other two paths* p_1, p_2 *from* v_i *to* v_j *such that the three paths* s_r, p_1, p_2 *are pairwise edge-disjoint.*

Proof. The Lemma is obvious for $m = 1$ and $m = 2$. Assume that the Lemma is true for any 3-edge connected component of up to m vertices. For a 3-edge connected component with $m + 1$ vertices $v_1, ..., v_{m+1}$ we can always find a short 3-weak cycle s_m from v_{m+1} to one of the vertices v_i. By Lemma 3.13 and Theorem 3.14 any path from v_{m+1} to v_i which contains any of the edges (and hence of the inner vertices) of s_m must also contain the initial edge e_1 of s_m. Hence deleting e_1 from G will cut all such paths. But by the Menger's Theorem this deletion must preserve at least two edge-disjoined paths p_1 and p_2 going from v_{t+1} to v_i. We now apply the induction hypothesis and add s_m to our selection of the short 3-weak cycles. □

Lemma 6.2. *For* $h \in W(G, A)$ *we will, similarly to our results in Theorem 4.1, get* $2h(s_r) = h(v_i) - h(v_j)$*, where* s_r *here is a short* 3*-weak cycle from vertex* v_i *to vertex* v_j *as in Lemma 6.1.*

Proof. Notice that all three paths p_1, p_2, s_r go from v_j to v_i and so contain v_j and do not contain v_i. Their inverse paths $p_1^{-1}, p_2^{-1}, s_r^{-1}$ will contain the same vertices and edges as the original ones, except they will contain v_i and will not contain v_j. Hence we can compute the values of the balanced function h along the following the cycles: $h(p_1) + h(v_j) + h(p_2) - h(v_i) = 0$ and $h(p_1) + h(v_j) + h(s_r) - h(v_i) = 0$ and $h(s_r) + h(v_j) + h(p_2) - h(v_i) = 0$. Adding the last two expressions and subtracting the first one gives us $2h(s_r) - h(v_i) + h(v_j) = 0$. □

Let $s_{1,1}, ..., s_{1,r_1}, ..., s_{con_3(G),1}, ..., s_{con_3(G),r_{con_3(G)}}$ be all such selected short 3-weak cycles from all the 3-edge connected components of G. Clearly, $r_1 + ... + r_{con_3(G)} = con_3(G) - con(G)$. It is also clear that

$$s'_1 = \epsilon(s_{1,1}), ..., s'_{r_1} = \epsilon(s_{1,r_1}), ..., s'_{con_3(G)-con(G)} = \epsilon(s_{con_3(G),r_{con_3(G)}})$$

all belong to the 3-Weak Cycle Space of G but no their $\mathbb{F}_2$-linear combination, except the trivial one, can belong to the Cycle Space of G. Indeed, since for an equivalence component containing m vertices we selected $m - 1$ such short 3-weak cycles and since all the vertices of that component are connected by them and their sums, the pigeonhole principle guarantees that no non-trivial cycles can be obtained by adding these short 3-weak cycles.

Hence the subspace of the 3-Weak Cycle Space of G spanned by

$s'_1, ..., s'_{con_3(G)-con(G)}$, which we call Λ', is another lift of the quotient of the 3-Weak Cycle Space of G by the Cycle Space of G back to the 3-Weak Cycle Space of G. Now we define

$$h(s'_1) = h(s_{1,1}), ..., h(s'_{r_1}) = h(s_{1,r_1}), ..., h(s'_{con_3(G)-con(G)}) = h(s_{con_3(G),r_{con_3(G)}})$$

and by $\mathbb{F}_2$-linearity extend h to all the elements of Λ'.

Theorem 6.3. *The Abelian group $W(G, A)$ of all the balanced functions $h : G \to A$ is isomorphic to $A^{|V|+con_3(G)-con(G)}$*

Proof. Similarly to the proof of Theorem 4.2, we select any basis $c_1, ..., c_{|E|-|V|+con(G)}$ of the Cycle Space of G. Then we select and index some edges $e_1, ..., e_{con_3(G)-con(G)}$ of G so that they together with $c_1, ..., c_{|E|-|V|+con(G)}$ and with $s'_1, ..., s'_{con_3(G)-con(G)}$ constitute a basis of $\mathbb{F}_2^E$. Finally, similarly to the proof of Theorem 5.4, the vertices $w_1, ..., w_{con_3(G)}$, which are representatives of the 3-edge-connected components of G are also selected and indexed.

To obtain an isomorphism $\xi : W(G, A) \to A^{|V|+con_3(G)-con(G)}$ we, for a balanced function $h \in W(G, A)$, define $\xi(h) \in A^{|V|+con_3(G)-con(G)}$ by

$$\xi(h) = (h(w_1), ..., h(w_{con_3(G)}), h(e_1), ..., h(e_{con_3(G)-con(G)}), h(s'_1), ..., h(s_{|V|-con_3(G)}))$$

Clearly, ξ is a homomorphism. Next, if $\xi(h) = 0$ then, by Lemma 6.2, h must be 0 on every vertex of G. Hence we can regard h as an element of $H(E, A)$. But h is 0 on every homological cycle of G and also on every element of Λ' and on all $e_1, ..., e_{con_3(G)-con(G)}$. Hence h is 0 on every edge of E. Thus h must be the zero function.

For any element $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, ..., a_{|V|+con_3(G)-con(G)})$ of $A^{|V|+con_3(G)-con(G)}$ we construct $h \in W(G, A)$ such that $\xi(h) = \mathbf{a}$ by setting:

1. For all the vertices $w_1, ..., w_{con_3(G)}$ of G we set $h(w_i) = a_i$.

2. For all the edges $e_1, ..., e_{con_3(G)-con(G)}$ of G we set $h(e_t) = a_{t+con_3(G)}$.

3. We set $h(s'_j) = a_{j+2con_3(G)-con(G)}$ for all $s'_1, ..., s'_{con_3(G)-con(G)}$

4. We set $h(c_i) = 0$ for all $c_1, ..., c_{|E|-|V|+con(G)}$

Then extending h by $\mathbb{F}_2$-linearity from $(2), (3), (4)$ to all the elements of $\mathbb{F}_2^E$, thus including all the edges of G. Then extending h to all the vertices $v_{1+con_3(G)}, ..., v_{|V|}$ of G by connecting each v_r to its representative $w(v_r)$ by the unique sum of the above-selected short 3-weak cycles $s'(r)_1 + s'(r)_2 + ...$ and setting $h(v_r) = h(w(v_r)) + h(s'(r)_1) + h(s'(r)_2) + ...$ By a direct plugging-in we can see that indeed $\xi(h) = \mathbf{a}$ ☐

Corollary 6.4. *$H(E, A)$ is a subgroup of $W(G, A)$ and the quotient $W(G, A)/H(E, A)$ is naturally isomorphic to $B(V, A)$.*

Proof. This is evident from the proofs of Theorems 4.2, 5.4 and 6.3. The natural isomorphism is defined by "forgetting" the values of $h \in W(G, A)$ on the edges of G and regarding it just as a balanceable function from V to A. ☐

7 Conclusion

When every element of A is of the rank 2, for example if $A = \mathbb{Z}_2$, then $2A = 0$ and $A_2 = A$. In that case there is no difference between the weights on edges and the gains on edges. Thus our results for $H(E, A)$ in that case coincide for that case with the classical results for the balanced voltage and gain graphs. Graphs, with the elements of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ assigned to their edges, are called signed graphs. Balanced signed graphs were first characterized by Harari. When A is the additive group of the real numbers then $2A = A$ and $A_2 = 0$ and our results for $H(E, A)$ coincide with the results of [3]. In the case when A is a finite group, which is the case studied in [8], Theorem 4 of [8] follows from our Theorem 6.3.

In this work we studied the group structure of the balanced functions $W(G, A)$ and of its subgroup of balanced functions $H(E, A)$ and factor-group of balanceable functions $B(V, A)$. The dual problem is to understand the group structure of the subgroup $B'(V, A)$ of $W(G, A)$ of all the balanced functions on vertices and the group structure of the factor-group $H'(E, A)$ of all the balanceable functions on edges. Thus the elements of $B'(V, A)$ are, by abuse of notation, such elements of $W(G, A)$, which take 0 value of every edge of G. For the case of $A = Z_2$ this would be identical to describing the consistent marked (vertex-signed) graphs, which were studied and characterized in [1] , [2], [10], [7], [12] and in [15]. In [11] the relations between consistent marked graphs and balanced signed graphs are studied.

The structure of the group of balanced Abelian group labeling on other discrete structures, such as simplicial complexes and hypergraphs, is also of interest.

References

[1] B.D. Acharya. A characterization of consistent marked graphs. Nat. Acad. Sci Lett. 6 (1983) 431-440.

[2] B.D. Acharaya. Some further properties of consistent marked graphs. Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 15 (1984) 837-842.

[3] R. Balakrishnan and N. Sudharsanam. Cycle vanishing edge valuations of a graph. Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 13(3) (1982) 313-316.

[4] R. Diestel. Graph Theory. Springer; 4th edition 2010. Corrected 2nd printing 2012 edition.

[5] J. L. Gross and T. W. Tucker. Topological Graph Theory. Dover Publications; 1987.

[6] T. Harju. Lecture Notes on Graph Theory. Department of Mathematics, University of Turku, Finland (1994-2012). URL: *http://users.utu.fi/harju/graphtheory/graphtheory.pdf*

[7] C. Hoede. A characterization of consistent marked graphs. J. Graph Theory 16 (1992) 17-23.

[8] M. Joglekar, N. Shah and A. A. Diwan. Balanced group-labeled graphs. Discrete Mathematics 312 (2012) 1542-1549.

[9] K. Menger. "Zur allgemeinen Kurventheorie". Fund. Math. 10 (1927) 96-115.

[10] S. B. Rao. Characterization of harmonious marked graphs and consistent nets. J. Combin. Inform. System Sci. 9 (1984) 97-112.

[11] F. S. Roberts. On balanced signed graphs and consistent marked graphs. Electronic Notes in Discrete Mathematics Volume 2 (April 1999) 94-105.

[12] F. S. Roberts and S. Xu. Characterizations of consistent marked graphs. Discrete Appl. Math 127 (2003) 357-371.

[13] K. Rybnikov and T. Zaslavsky. Criteria for Balance in Abelian Gain Graphs, with Applications to Piecewise-Linear Geometry. Discrete & Computational Geometry. Volume 34, Issue 2 (August 2005) 251-268.

[14] Y. H. Tsin. Yet another optimal algorithm for 3-edge-connectivity. Journal of Discrete Algorithms 7.1 (2009) 130-146.

[15] T. Zaslavsky. Consistent Vertex-Signed Graphs. A lecture at the C.R. Rao Advanced Institute of Mathematics, Statistics and Computer Science, Univ. of Hyderabad, India (28 July 2010). URL $http://www.math.binghamton.edu/zaslav/Tpapers/consistent-v-s.slides.20100728$